UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,451  | 02/19/2007                 | Stefan Portner       | 078857.0179         | 9931             |
| 31625<br>BAKER BOTT                                       | 7590 07/28/200<br>S L.L.P. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PATENT DEPA   | ARTMENT                    | GIMIE, MAHMOUD       |                     |                  |
| 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500<br>AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039 |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                            |                      | 3747                |                  |
|   |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                            |                      | 07/28/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/595,451      | PORTNER ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|  | Manmoud Gimie  | 3/4/  |                               |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add  | ress                          |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.  |                               |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or  | hich places the (3) a Request |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Act</li> </ul>   |  | in the final rejection, whi   | chever is later. In           |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l   | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                      | g date of the final rejection   | n.                            |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the con | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1                                     |   |                               |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | hortened statutory period for reply origi                                  | nally set in the final Offic  | e action; or (2) as           |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   | " 'U- 07 OFD 44 07 (b  | Maria Maria Cara da Car | 6 (   - 1 - 1 6               |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |                               |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |                               |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |   | cause                         |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | •  |   |                               |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,                                    |   | ne issues for                 |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   | corresponding number of finally reje                                       | ected claims.   |                               |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | O. C Harbard Nation of Nam Co.   | !   | DTOL 204)                     |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |  | mpliant Amendment (i  | PTOL-324).                    |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | imely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the              |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | l be entered and an e   | xplanation of                 |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |                               |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |                               |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |                               |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |                               |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea                                  | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a                |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er                                     | ntry is below or attach   | ed.                           |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but it is believed that Jjordjevic discloses the claimed subject   |  |   |                               |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |                               |
|  |  |   |                               |
|  | /Mahmoud Gimie/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U                                 | nit 3747  |                               |
|  |  |   |                               |



Application No.